

ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097126,80	16 U77337	SEL ANDREWS	R	A).+-03(530)

QM22/0729

MARY E PORTER NORTON COMPANY 1 NEW BOND STREET PO BOX 15138 WORCESTER MA 01615-0138

EXAMINER BERRY, W

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 3723

DATE MAILED:

07/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/126,806

Applicant(s)

Andrews et al.

Examiner

Willie Berry, Jr.

Group Art Unit 3723



rened statutory period for response to this act per, from the mailing date of this communication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133 R 1.136(a). Sition of Claims Claim(s) 1-12	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed
rece this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Ontened statutory period for response to this activer, from the mailing date of this communication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133 R 1.136(a). Sition of Claims Claim(s) 1-12	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. tion is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever on. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the 3). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
rened statutory period for response to this act per, from the mailing date of this communication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133 R 1.136(a). Sition of Claims Claim(s) 1-12	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. tion is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever on. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the 3). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
ger, from the mailing date of this communication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133 R 1.136(a). Sition of Claims Claim(s) 1-12	on. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the B). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Claim(s) 1-12	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
ation Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pat	ent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed oni	s/are objected to by the Examiner.
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.
The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.
y under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIE	ED copies of the priority documents have been
received.	
• •	
	on from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
•	nestic priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)
-	restic priority under 35 0.3.C. 3 113(e).
	49 Paper No(s) 4
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	ew, PTO-948
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15	
	Claims ation Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate The drawing(s) filed on

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over patent no. 2. 4,860,721 to Matsuda in view of patent no. 5,916,013 to Naumann et al. Matsuda discloses a core (16), backing element (14), and diamond abrasive rim (12). Matsuda discloses the claimed invention except for the specific material and ranges of the core and braze. Naumann et al. teaches that it is known to use an active braze (column 16, lines 22-35) in a grinding machine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Matsuda to include the active braze as taught by Naumann et al. for the purpose of providing a means to bond the diamond grains to the core. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the specific material and ranges of the core and braze, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice and general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

Willie Berry, Jr. :wbj July 27, 1999

PRIMARY EXAMINER